

City of Marshfield
Marshfield Pool Study Committee
 Thursday, February 9, 2017 Meeting Minutes
 City Hall, 630 S. Central Avenue

I. CALL TO ORDER:

The meeting was called to order by Ben Lee at 7:00 p.m.

Present: Justin Casperson, Josh Cole, Pat Gall, Chris Jockheck, Ben Lee, Ali Luedtke, Stephanie Smith, Rebecca Spiros, Bob Trussoni, Jim Wein, and John White Jr.

Absent: Kelly Cassidy & Monica Koehn.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: Motion by White, seconded by Gall to approve the January 12, 2017 meeting minutes. Motion Carried.

III. PUBLIC COMMENT: None.

IV. REVIEW COUNCIL PRESENTATION BY AYERS:

The committee discussed the Common Council presentation by Ayers Associates. The committee felt the presentation was valuable and hit the important points. The committee would like to know the response to Alderperson Witzel's question, which pertained to the new pool surface water square footage compared to the current pool. Casperson told the committee that he has been in contact with Ayers and requested clarification on the question, as well as a comparison chart between the proposed facility and current pool. He hoped to have that information before the next meeting. The Committee asked the following questions be extended to Ayers:

1. Why is the pool that size? How did we get to that size? What factors were used?
2. Can we get the dimensions? Length and Width of the facilities? (i.e. bathhouse, pool vessels, inside fence, parking lot, etc...)
3. How is a pool capacity derived?

V. SITE SELECTION:

The Committee established the matrix criteria and weights.

	Scale 1 to 5 (1=low, 5=high)	Weight
1	Space - Acreage	5
2	Location near Trail System	3
3	Cost of Land	5
4	Population Density - near housing - zoning	2
5	Safe Access/Traffic	4
6	Economic Impact to Area	2
7	Aesthetics/Neighborhood	4
8	Benefits to other Park Facilities	3
9	Infrastructure - Utilities	3
10	Timeline	5
11	Demographic	1
12	Expansion of other Rec. Possibilities	3
13	Buildable	3

The Committee briefly looked at 17 sites. The Committee removed the following sites from consideration:

1. Weinbrenner Site (#2) – The site is too small.
2. 14th & Adams (#4) – The site fits acreage requirements, however Alderperson Jockheck said the property is currently being considered for residential development by the City.
3. Arnold & Peach (#5) – The site is limited on size, however the committee did not want to displace the current businesses, the vehicle traffic was high, and a potential contamination risk.
4. Upper Pond (#6) – It was determined it is not a realistic option because people like the water feature and the cost to fill in the pond would be cost prohibitive.
5. Vine and 25th (#16) – This site is used for City's aggregate stockpile. It was determined the site is too important to the City to relinquish and it's not an ascetically pleasing location.
6. 2200 Maple (#17) – This site is currently home to many mobile homes. It was determined the site would cause too much displacement of the homeowners and it not an ascetically pleasing location.

Casperson will pass along the matrix and potential site map to the City's Public Works and Development Services Departments for review and comment.

VI. NEXT MEETING: 7:00 p.m. on March 9, 2017

VII. ADJOURNMENT: 8:32 p.m. Motion Carried

Respectfully Submitted: Justin Casperson Parks & Recreation Director